Case 1:07-cr-00160-HB Document 44 Filed 05/13/2008 Page 1 of 3 MORVILLO, ABRAMOWITZ, GRAND, IASON, ANELLO & BOHRER, P. C.

ELKAN ABRAMOWITZ RICHARD F. ALBERT ROSERT J. ANELLO LAWRENCE S. BADER BARRY A. BOHRER CATHERINE M. POT PAUL R. GRAND LAWRENCE IABON STEPHEN M JURIS ROBERT G. MORVILLO BARBARA MOSES" JODI MIBHER PEIKIN JONATHAN 5. BACK" EDWARD H. SPIRD JEREMY H. TEMKIN JOHN J. TIBUE, JR. CYRUS R. YANGE, JR. RICHARD D. WEINBERG

"ALEO ADMITTED IN CON

565 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, N.Y, 10017

TELEPHONE (212) 856-9600

www.maglaw.com

FACSIMILE (212) 856-9494

writer's direct dial (212) 880-9485 rweinberg@maglaw.com COUNSEL

JUDITH L. MOGI,L

CHRISTOPHER , MORVILLO

E. SCOTT MORVILLO

GREGDRY MORVILLO

SENIOR ATTC RNEY

YHOMAS M. KEINE

MICHAEL G. SILBERBERG

D) ECCETIVED

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE S.D.N.Y.

BY FAX

Honorable Harold Baer, Jr. United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 2230 New York, NY 10007

USDS SDNY

DOCUMENT

DATE FILED

Re: <u>United States</u> v. <u>Charlene Marant</u>

ELECTRONICALLY FILEDM 13, 2008

07 Cr. 160

Dear Judge Baer:

I am writing with regard to the new dates that the Court ordered today. At yesterday's conference, the Court scheduled a competency hearing for May 23 and a trial date of June 2. At the conference, I confirmed that Mr. Anello was available on these dates. This morning, the Court rescheduled the competency hearing for May 22 and the trial for May 27. I respectfully request that the Court keep the schedule that was agreed to and set at yesterday's conference.

Mr. Anello is unavailable on May 22 because he must defend a previously scheduled deposition of a client in a multi-party, consolidated litigation involving Healthsouth. Mr. Anello is still available to do a competency hearing on May 23. I respectfully ask the Court to hold the hearing on this date.

Case 1:07-cr-00160-HB Document 44 Filed 05/13/2008 MORVILLO, ABRAMOWITZ, GRAND, IASON, ANELLO & BOHRER, P. C. Page 2 of 3

Honorable Harold Baer, Jr. May 13, 2008 Page 2

The new trial date set by the Court, May 27, is unfair given the substantial number of documents recently produced by the government that defense counsel must review in order to prepare for trial. As I explained to the Court at the conference, the defense recently received 105 boxes of documents and literally thousands of emails from the government. These documents are relevant to preparing Ms. Marant's defense. It is simply not possible for the defense to ind ment review these documents and to adequately prepare for trial by May 27. Accordingly, I respectfully request the Court to keep the trial date as previously scheduled.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Pachal Henry

Richard D. Weinberg

RDW/sag/sc

William J. Harrington, Esq. cc:

Assistant U.S. Attorney/SDNY

Endorsement:

After most of yesterday awaiting your thoughts and as late as this morning having conflicting information as to your predilections and mine, I made a decision myself which is what as I understood it I get all that money for. Your objection is noted as will be reflected in the record - you are quite right about the competency hearing and I thought that would be a preferred date and while I decided on the 22nd it was only because of the holiday weekend either date is still OK with me but I'm confident you will do well at the hearing if it remains on that date.